

U. S. APPLN. NO. 10/526,542

REMARKS

With an attentive consideration on the Office Action, the following amendments and response are done in order to overcome the problems posed therein.

Examination Item 4:

In the claim 16, the wording "between them" is amended as " between the first part (2) and the second part (5)", the wording " which" as " the second part(5)"

In the claim 16, the technical feature " by the above mentioned mutual pivoting" is amended as "by the said mutual pivoting". In fact, in the claim 16 there is stated that " second part (5)

is connected to the first part (2) so as to allow mutual pivoting between the first part (2) and the second part (5) about a second pivot pin (6) before clamping and keeping them integral with each other " accordingly, the doubly underlined feature is the referring basis of the feature "the said mutual pivoting".

Furthermore, in the claim 16 there is stated the feature " second pivot pin", but not "second pivot", of course, the amendment of " adjustable about the said second pivot pin" is good and acceptable, but it can't define early the way of motion as the feature " the said mutual pivoting".

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

In the claim 16, the wording "as advantageously as possible" is deleted, but the feature "according to the bicycle configuration" is reserved, since it defines specifically and legally the verb "place".

Examination Item 5:

In the claim 18, the feature "consists of" is amended as "comprises".

Examination Item 6:

The claim 19 is canceled.

And applicant here requests the Examiner takes a further examination on the basis of the

newly amended claims.



Respectfully submitted.

Gilles MOTTE DIT FALISSE

Rue de Boncelles 794

4102 Liege-Ougree

Belgium

Fax :+32-4-253-0252

Tel :+32-495-23-94-23